Blockchain Mining Games Presentation Lecturer: Hong-Sheng Zhou

Jianqiang Li, V#: v00821365

Apr 18 2017

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

Selfish Mining



Figure: State machine with transition frequencies

Stategy 1

When the selfish miner's branch is 1 blocks ahead, the selfish miner release entire branch immediately.

Selfish Mining



Figure: State machine with transition frequencies

Stategy 2

When the selfish miner's branch is 2 blocks ahead, instead of keeping her own branch private from the public, the selfish miner now with probability 0.2 reveals her entire branch immediately.

Selfish Mining Strategy

1 blocks ahead...

A miner with computational power at least 33% of the total power, provides rewards strictly better than the honesty strategy[2]

```
2 blocks ahead ....?
```

```
3 blocks ahead.....?
```

Which strategy is the optimal corresponding to the computational power?

What can we do?

Blockchain Mining Games

Game-theoretic provide a systematic way to study the strengths and vulnerabilities of bitcoin digital currency[1].

Game-theoretic abstraction of Bitcoin Mining

- Miner 1 is the miner whose optimal strategy (best response) we wish to determine(α)
- ► Miner 2 is assumed to follow the Honesty strategy or Frontier Strategy (Follow the longest chain) (β) α + β = 1.
- the reward r^* and computational cost c^*
- ► the depth of the game d, after d new blocks attached to the chain, the reward will be paid for this block.

Game-theoretic abstraction of Bitcoin Mining

Sate

- A public state is simply a rooted tree. Every node is labeled by one of the players;
- A private state of a player i is similar to the public state except it may contain more nodes called private nodes and labeled by i.

We consider complete-information games (the private states of all miners are common knowledge).

Game-theoretic abstraction of Bitcoin Mining

Selfish (rational) miners want to know

- which block to mine
- when to release a mined block

Strategy of a player (miner) i

- ► the mining function µ_i selects a node of the current public state to mine
- the release function ρ_i determines the section of the private states is added to the public state

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Notation: follow the longest chain is Honesty strategy (Frontier strategy)



Figure: Typical State

Mining states

The set M, both miners keep mining their own branch. $(0,0) \in M$

Capitulation states

The set C, miner 1 gives up on his branch and continues mining from some block of the other branch. e.g., when the game is truncated at depth d, the set contains (a,d) for a=0,...,d.

Wining state

The set W of states in which Miner 2 capitulates, Miner 2 honesty (plays Frontier) $W = \{(a, a - 1) : a \ge 1\}.$



Figure: Upper-left green aprt is the set Capitulation states, red line of Wining states, orange part of Mining state

What happens when miner 1 capitulates?

- Miner 1 will abandons his private branch, he can choose to move to any state (0,s).
- Then set of deterministic strategies of Miner 1 is set of pairs (M,s), M is set of mining set.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Expected gain of Miner 1

- g_k(a, b) denote the expected gain of Miner 1, when the branch of the honest miner in the tree is extended by k new levels starting from an initial tree in which Miner 1 and 2 have lengths a and b respectively.
- Then, for large k, k'. we have

$$g_{k}(a,b) - g_{k'}(a,b) = g^{*}(k-k')$$
 (1)

 g^* represents the expected gain per level

g_k(a, b) = kg^{*}+ψ(a, b)
 ψ(a, b) the potential function denote the advantage of Miner
 1 for currently being at state (a,b)

The objective of Miner 1 is to maximize g^*

For a strategy (M,s)

- If (a,b)∈ M, Miner 1 succeeds to mine next block first with probability α, then new state is (a+1,b);
- If (a,b)∈ C, Miner 1 abandons his branch and the new state is (0,s).
- If (a,b) ∈ W, Miner 2 abandons his branch and the new state is (0,0).

From above consideration and $p = \alpha, 1 - p = \beta$, we have

$$g_k(a,b) = \begin{cases} g_{k-1}(0,0) + a & a = b+1 \\ \max\left(\max_{s=0,\dots,b-1} g_k(0,s), pg_k(a+1,b) + (1-p)g_{k-1}(a,b+1)\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and by definition $g_0(a, b) = 0$. From this, we can get a similar recurrence for φ :

$$\varphi(a,b) = \begin{cases} \varphi(0,0) + a - g^* & a = b + 1 \\ \max(\max_{s=0,\dots,b-1} \varphi(0,s), p\varphi(a+1,b) + (1-p)\varphi(a,b+1) - (1-p)g^*) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

We also fix $\varphi(0,0) = 0$; note that the potential of all states is non-negative.

Definition

- Let r_(M,s)(a, b) denote the wining probability starting at state (a,b),
- Let r(a, b) denote the optimal strategy (M,s).

Lemma 1 For every state (a,b)

$$r(a,b) \leq \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{1+b-a}$$
 (2)

1+b-a captures the distance of state (a,b) and wining state.

Lemma 2 For every state (a,b) and every nonnegative integers c and k $% \left({{{\bf{k}}_{\rm{s}}}} \right)$

$$g_k(a+c,b+c) - g_k(a,b) \le cr(a+c,b+c) \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2 provide a useful relation between expected optimal gain and the wining probability.

Corollary 1. For every state (a,b) and every nonnegative integer c

$$\psi(a,b) \ge \psi(a+c,b+c) - cr(a+c,b+c)$$
(4)

Corollary 1 provide a useful relation between the potential function and the wining probability.

Lemma 3 For every $\alpha,$ we have

$$\psi(0,0) + r(1,1) \ge \psi(1,1) \ge \alpha \psi(2,1) + \beta \psi(1,2) - g^*\beta$$
 (5)

Then we have

$$\psi(1,2) \le \frac{2\alpha^2 - \alpha}{(1-\alpha)^2} + g^* \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$$
 (6)

Similarly, we have

Lemma 4 For $\alpha \leq 0.382$, if state $(0,2) \in M$, we have Then we have

$$\psi(0,2) \le \frac{2\alpha^2 - (1-\alpha)^3}{(1-\alpha)^2}$$
 (7)

For $\alpha \leq$ 0.36 state (0,2) is not a mining state

Lemma 5 For $\alpha \leq 0.382$, if state $(0,1) \in M$, then (0,2) is also a mining state and

$$\psi(0,1) \le \beta \psi(0,2) - \alpha \frac{1 - 3\alpha + \alpha^2}{(1 - \alpha)} \tag{8}$$

For $\alpha \leq 0.36$ state (0,2) is not a mining state

Immediate-Release Game Results

Lemma 6 Honesty Strategy is a best response for Miner 1 iff $\psi(0,1)=\psi(0,0)$

Theorem 1, In the immediate-release model, Honesty strategy is a Nash equilibrium when every miner computational power less than 0.36

Theorem 2, In the immediate-release model, the best response strategy for Miner 1 is not honesty strategy when computational power larger than 0.455

Contrary to the immediate-release case, the state (a,b) could be that a is strictly larger than b + 1

Similarly, we have Theorem 3, In the Strategic-Release model, Honesty strategy is a Nash equilibrium when every miner computational power less than 0.308

- Kiayias, Aggelos, et al. "Blockchain mining games." Proceedings of the 2016 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation. ACM, 2016.
- Eyal, Ittay, and Emin Gün Sirer. "Majority is not enough: Bitcoin mining is vulnerable." International Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.